

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,965	09/08/2006	Karlfried Pfeifenbring	PR-88	8652
20311 LUCAS & ME	7590 06/03/201 ERCANTI, LLP	1	EXAM	IINER
475 PARK AV	ENUE SOUTH	LAVILLA, MICHAEL E		
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1784	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2011	ELECTRONIC .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@lmiplaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	1 11			
10/539,965	PFEIFENBRING ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
MICHAEL LA VILLA	1784			

The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
WHICHEVER IS LO! Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from	NGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(in the mailing date of this communication.	a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
 Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the C 	et or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca	apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, uses the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), ate of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
2a) This action is F 3) Since this appl	ication is in condition for allowance	v. 2011. ction is non-final. e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5-8.1</u> u 4a) Of the abov 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8.1</u> u 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>11 an</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		from consideration.
Application Papers		
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may not replacement drawing	ot request that any objection to the dra awing sheet(s) including the correction	accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. awing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). In is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). miner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119	
a)⊠ All b) ☐ So 1. ☐ Certified 2. ☐ Certified 3. ☒ Copies o applicati	ome * c) None of: copies of the priority documents here.	nave been received in Application No / documents have been received in this National Stage PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cir	ted (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Ne(s)Wall Frate

1)	Notice

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO 948)	Paper No(s)/I/ all Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/539,965 Page 2

Art Unit: 1784

DETAILED ACTION

WITHDRAWAL OF FINALITY

 The FINALITY of the FINAL REJECTION mailed on 20 January 2011 has been WITHDRAWN. Applicant's amendment filed on 20 May 2011 has been ENTERED.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the fourth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 3. Subject to the following paragraph, a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.
- 4. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph.
- 5. Previous Claim 5 specifies that the tubes are copper tubes. The Specification teaches that copper tubes are not copper-nickel tubes. See Specification at page 11, line 3, for example. Since copper-nickel tubes are not copper tubes, these dependent claims cannot be said to be properly further limiting of previous Claim 5. The claim scope would be considered to outside that encompassed by previous Claim 5.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 7. The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

Application/Control Number: 10/539,965 Page 3

Art Unit: 1784

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding Claim 11, the claim requires a copper-nickel tube that is coated with nickel electroplating layer. However, the Specification teaches that the copper-nickel tubes having the breadth of the claimed relative amounts of copper and nickel are to have a copper electroplating layer, not nickel layers. See Specification at page 11, line 1-6. While there is an example of a specific copper nickel alloy tube that is coated with nickel, this example is disparaged, and there is no basis for concluding that the Specification reasonably conveys that applicant possessed the breadth of copper-nickel tubes as claimed in Claim 11 being nickel coated as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5-8, 10, and 14-16 are allowed.

Response to Amendment

10. In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, the section 112, second paragraph rejection and the section 102/103 rejection over McRae of the Office Action mailed on 20 January 2011 are overcome and therefore withdrawn.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL LA VILLA whose telephone number is (571)272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday. Application/Control Number: 10/539,965 Page 4

Art Unit: 1784

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jennifer McNeil, can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MICHAEL LA VILLA/ MICHAEL LA VILLA Primary Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1784

21 May 2011

31 May 2011